Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker) Perfect vs. approximate folding Equilateral triangle algorithm?



・ロト ・日・・日・・日・・日・ション

Not so fast!

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Let x be the "error". Thus $\alpha = 60 + x$, and



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ▲国▼ のへの

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Not so fast!

After folding, we get



・ロト ・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへで

Not so fast!

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Perfect vs. approximate folding



・ロト ・母ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うへの

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Not so fast!

Thus, our angles are

$$60 + x, 60 - \frac{x}{2}, 60 + \frac{x}{4}, 60 - \frac{x}{8}, \dots,$$

・ロト・国ト・ヨト・ヨー うらぐ

P

Not so fast!

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Thus, our angles are

$$60 + x, 60 - \frac{x}{2}, 60 + \frac{x}{4}, 60 - \frac{x}{8}, \dots,$$

and the error approaches zero.

・ロト ・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへで

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Fujimoto folding

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Goal: divide a strip of paper into fifths.

・ロト・国ト・ヨト・ヨー うらぐ

F

Fujimoto folding

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Goal: divide a strip of paper into fifths. Key ideas:

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Fujimoto folding

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Goal: divide a strip of paper into fifths. Key ideas:

• Imperfect guessing is easy.

・ロト・日本・モート ヨー うくぐ

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Fujimoto folding

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Goal: divide a strip of paper into fifths. Key ideas:

- Imperfect guessing is easy.
- Bisecting is easy.

・ロト・日本・モト・モー しょうくの

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Fujimoto folding

First, guess, with an error of x (positive or negative).

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Fujimoto folding

First, guess, with an error of x (positive or negative).



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 - のへの

Fujimoto folding

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Perfect vs. approximate folding





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ◇◇◇



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Fujimoto folding

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Perfect vs. approximate folding

Notice that the error has been divided by 4.



・ロト ・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへで

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker) Perfect vs. approximate folding Fujimoto folding

Bisect the left twice, and the error is divided by 16!



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 …のへで

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker) The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding

The sequence $\it RRLL$, repeated, $\it converges$ to 1/5. What if we wanted to get 1/7?

・ロト・日本・モト・モト・ ヨー つくぐ

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding

Claim: the sequence

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding

Claim: the sequence *RLL*, repeated, does the trick.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うらの

The arithmetic of folding

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Claim: the sequence RLL, repeated, does the trick. Note that

$$rac{1}{8} + rac{1}{8^2} + rac{1}{8^3} + \dots = rac{rac{1}{8}}{1 - rac{1}{8}} = rac{rac{1}{8}}{rac{7}{8}} = rac{1}{7}.$$

The arithmetic of folding

・ロト・日本・山田・山田・山下・

The arithmetic of folding

Claim: the sequence RLL, repeated, does the trick. Note that

$$\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8^2} + \frac{1}{8^3} + \dots = \frac{\frac{1}{8}}{1 - \frac{1}{8}} = \frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{7}{8}} = \frac{1}{7}.$$

The arithmetic of folding

So, in binary (base 2),

$$\frac{1}{7} = 0.001001001001\ldots$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うらの

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding

Likewise, in binary, we claim that

$$\frac{1}{5}=0.00110011\ldots,$$

・ロト・国ト・ヨト・ヨー うらぐ

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding

Likewise, in binary, we claim that

$$\frac{1}{5}=0.00110011\ldots,$$

because

$$0.0011 = \frac{3}{16},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ○ ◆

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding

Likewise, in binary, we claim that

$$\frac{1}{5}=0.00110011\ldots,$$

because

$$0.0011 = \frac{3}{16},$$

and

$$0.00110011\ldots = \frac{3}{16} + \frac{3}{16^2} + \cdots = \frac{\frac{3}{16}}{1 - \frac{1}{16}} = \frac{\frac{3}{16}}{\frac{15}{16}} = \frac{3}{15} = \frac{1}{5}.$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めんの

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding: in general

Think of the strip of paper as a length on the number line, starting at 0 and ending at 1.

The arithmetic of folding



Think of the strip of paper as a length on the number line, starting at 0 and ending at 1. Make a pinch at x = 0.abcd..., in binary.



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ → ≣ → のへで

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker) The arithmetic of folding: in general



・ロト・西ト・ヨト・日・ うへの





・ロト ・母ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うへの





Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker) The arithmetic of folding The arithmetic of folding: in general



・ロト・西ト・モン・モー シック

The arithmetic of folding: in general

In other words, L inserts a 0 into the binary representation of x, and R inserts a 1.

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding: in general

In other words, L inserts a 0 into the binary representation of x, and R inserts a 1. Any sequence of Ls and Rs will converge to whatever that binary number is

・ロト・日本・モト・モト・ ヨー つくぐ

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding: in general

In other words, L inserts a 0 into the binary representation of x, and R inserts a 1. Any sequence of Ls and Rs will converge to whatever that binary number is (replacing Lwith 0 and R with 1).

<ロト 4回ト 4 目ト 4 目ト 目 のへで</p>

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding: in general

Challenge: Use $\frac{1}{3} = 0.010101 \ldots,$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding: in general

Challenge: Use $\frac{1}{3}=0.010101\ldots, \label{eq:1}$ (in other words, $\textit{LRLRLR}\ldots$)

▲□▶▲□▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ = うへぐ

The arithmetic of folding

The arithmetic of folding: in general

Challenge: Use $\frac{1}{3} = 0.010101\ldots,$ (in other words, *LRLRLR*...) and start with an absurd guess (close to 1), to end up with an excellent approximation to $\frac{1}{3}$.

・ロト・日本・モト・モー・ ヨー うくぐ

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Tie a *neat* knot with a straw wrapper or ribbon. What do you get?

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Ribbons (Hull, Tanton, Zucker)

Tie a *neat* knot with a straw wrapper or ribbon. What do you get? A regular PENTAGON!



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 - のへの

Knots and numbers

Tie a *neat* knot with a straw wrapper or ribbon. What do you get?

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

A regular PENTAGON!



Why do you get it?

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Angle of incidence equals angle of reflection!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ⊙ ◆ ⊙

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Heptagon is theoretically possible!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Even octagons are theoretically possible!



Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

But not squares or regular hexagons or equilateral triangles!

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Reason: Number theory!

・ロト・日本・モート・モー うえぐ

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Reason: Number theory!

The Euler phi-function $\phi(n)$ is the number of positive integers less than or equal to *n* that are relatively prime to *n*.

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Reason: Number theory!

The Euler phi-function $\phi(n)$ is the number of positive integers less than or equal to *n* that are relatively prime to *n*.

For example, $\phi(8) = 5$, since 1, 3, 5, 7 are relatively prime to 8.

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

Reason: Number theory!

The Euler phi-function $\phi(n)$ is the number of positive integers less than or equal to *n* that are relatively prime to *n*.

For example, $\phi(8) = 5$, since 1, 3, 5, 7 are relatively prime to 8.

Modulo 8, the sequence

 $0,3,6,9 \equiv 1,4,7,10 \equiv 2,5$

covers all the residues modulo 8.

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・今日・

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

If $n \neq 2, 3, 4, 6$, then $\phi(n) > 2$.

・ロト・個ト・ヨト・ヨー うへの

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

If $n \neq 2, 3, 4, 6$, then $\phi(n) > 2$. So you can always find a "weaving interval"

・ロト・日本・モート モー りゃぐ

Knots, regular polygons, and number theory

Knots and numbers

If $n \neq 2, 3, 4, 6$, then $\phi(n) > 2$. So you can always find a "weaving interval" But, for example, if n = 6, the only numbers relatively prime to 6 are 1 and 5, and these won't work for "weaving," since they send you to the adjacent side.